

Transcription and Restricted Quantifiers

G. J. Matthey

Winter, 2009 / Philosophy 112

Differences Among Quantifier Expressions

- Some English quantifier expressions are neutral with respect to the range of objects whose quantity they express.
 - Any, every, all, whatever - some
 - Anything, everything - something
 - There is, at least one is
- Other quantifier expressions apply only to a limited range of objects.
 - Anyone, anybody - someone, somebody (persons)
 - Anywhere, everywhere - somewhere (places)
 - Whenever, always when - sometimes (times)

Limiting the Domain

- One way of transcribing sentences with restricted quantifiers is to limit the domain to the objects to which the quantifiers are supposed to apply.
 - $D = x$: x is a person, so $(\forall x)$ and $(\exists x)$ apply only to persons.
 - ‘Everybody is happy’ is transcribed as $(\forall x)Hx$, where Hx : x is happy.
- However, this only allows us to talk about items in the domain, so that in the last example, we could not transcribe ‘Everybody is happy sometimes’.

Restricted Quantifiers

- One way to transcribe sentences with a mixture of types of quantifier expressions is to create a new kind of quantifier in Predicate Logic: the **restricted quantifier**.
- We choose a predicate letter to symbolize the restricted range of objects.
 - ‘P’ stands for the set of all persons.
 - We write $(\forall x)_P$ for ‘everyone’, and $(\exists x)_P$ for ‘someone’.

- ‘Everyone is happy’ is transcribed as $(\forall x)_P Hx$.

We can mix restricted quantifiers to symbolize sentences containing more than one limited quantifier expression.

- ‘T’ stands for the set of all times.
- ‘Everyone is happy sometimes’ is transcribed as $(\forall x)_P (\exists y)_T Hxy$, where Hxy : x is happy at y.

Semantics for Restricted Quantifiers

- Tarski-style semantics can be used to specify satisfaction-conditions for sentences with restricted quantifiers.
- For each restriction represented by a one-place predicate S , we generate the set $r(S)$ from $v(S)$ by stripping off the angle brackets.
 - Let $v(P) = \{\langle \text{Adam} \rangle, \langle \text{Eve} \rangle\}$; $r(P) = \{\text{Adam}, \text{Eve}\}$.
- Then we say that d satisfies $(\exists u)_S P(u)$ if and only if for some object $o \in r(S)$, $d[o/u]$ satisfies $P(u)$.
 - Let $v(B) = \{\langle \text{Adam} \rangle\}$; then $d[\text{Adam}/x]$ satisfies ‘ Bx ’, so d satisfies $(\exists x)_P Bx$.
- Similarly, d satisfies $(\forall u)_S P(u)$ if and only if for all objects $o \in r(S)$, $d[o/u]$ satisfies $P(u)$.

Eliminating Restricted Quantifiers

- Restricted quantifiers can be eliminated in favor of other constructions without change in truth-value.
- $(\exists u)_S P(u)$ is equivalent to $(\exists u)(S(u) \ \& \ P(u))$.
 - $(\exists x)_P Bx$ is equivalent to $(\exists x)(Px \ \& \ Bx)$.
- $(\forall u)_S P(u)$ is equivalent to $(\forall u)(S(u) \ \supset \ P(u))$.
 - $(\forall x)_P Bx$ is equivalent to $(\forall x)(Px \ \supset \ Bx)$.
- The replacement of one form for the other (when authorized) may occur in an internal part of a sentence.
 - $(\exists x)_P Lxe \ \supset \ (\exists x)_P Lex$ is equivalent to $(\exists x)(Px \ \& \ Lxe) \ \supset \ (\exists x)(Px \ \& \ Lex)$.

Proof of Equivalence for Restricted Existentials

- $(\exists \mathbf{u})_{\mathbf{S}} \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{u})$ is true in \mathbf{I} if and only if (iff) it is satisfied by all variable assignments \mathbf{d} based on \mathbf{I} .
- Let \mathbf{I} be an arbitrary interpretation and \mathbf{d} an arbitrary variable assignment based on \mathbf{I} .
- \mathbf{d} satisfies $(\exists \mathbf{u})_{\mathbf{S}} \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{u})$ iff some object $\mathbf{o} \in \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{S})$, $\mathbf{d}[\mathbf{o}/\mathbf{u}]$ satisfies $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{u})$,
- iff for some object \mathbf{o} in \mathbf{D} , $\mathbf{d}[\mathbf{o}/\mathbf{u}]$ satisfies $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{u})$, and the one-tuple $\langle \mathbf{o} \rangle \in \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{S})$ [by the definition of $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{S})$],
- iff for some object $\mathbf{o} \in \mathbf{D}$, $\mathbf{d}[\mathbf{o}/\mathbf{u}]$ satisfies $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{u})$ and $\mathbf{d}[\mathbf{o}/\mathbf{u}]$ satisfies $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{u})$,
- iff for some object \mathbf{o} in \mathbf{D} , $\mathbf{d}[\mathbf{o}/\mathbf{u}]$ satisfies $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{u}) \ \& \ \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{u})$,
- iff \mathbf{d} satisfies $(\exists \mathbf{u})(\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{u}) \ \& \ \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{u}))$,
- Since \mathbf{d} is arbitrary, $(\exists \mathbf{u})_{\mathbf{S}} \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{u})$ is true in \mathbf{I} iff $(\exists \mathbf{u})(\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{u}) \ \& \ \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{u}))$ is true in \mathbf{I} , QED.

Proof of Equivalence for Restricted Universals

- To save space, only the core of the proof is presented; the other steps are trivial.
- \mathbf{d} satisfies $(\forall \mathbf{u})_{\mathbf{S}} \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{u})$ iff for all objects $\mathbf{o} \in \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{S})$, $\mathbf{d}[\mathbf{o}/\mathbf{u}]$ satisfies $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{u})$,
- iff for all objects $\mathbf{o} \in \mathbf{D}$, if $\mathbf{o} \in \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{S})$, then $\mathbf{d}[\mathbf{o}/\mathbf{u}]$ satisfies $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{u})$,
- iff for all objects $\mathbf{o} \in \mathbf{D}$, if the one-tuple $\langle \mathbf{o} \rangle \in \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{S})$, then $\mathbf{d}[\mathbf{o}/\mathbf{u}]$ satisfies $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{u})$,
- iff for all objects $\mathbf{o} \in \mathbf{D}$, if $\mathbf{d}[\mathbf{o}/\mathbf{u}]$ satisfies $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{u})$, then $\mathbf{d}[\mathbf{o}/\mathbf{u}]$ satisfies $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{u})$,
- iff for all objects $\mathbf{o} \in \mathbf{D}$, $\mathbf{d}[\mathbf{o}/\mathbf{u}]$ satisfies $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{u}) \supset \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{u})$,
- iff, \mathbf{d} satisfies $(\forall \mathbf{x})(\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{u}) \supset \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{u}))$, QED.

Negated Restricted Quantifiers

- The following two logical equivalences hold, with a proof of the first below.
 - $\sim(\forall \mathbf{u})_{\mathbf{S}} \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{u})$ and $(\exists \mathbf{u})_{\mathbf{S}} \sim \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{u})$
 - $\sim(\exists \mathbf{u})_{\mathbf{S}} \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{u})$ and $(\forall \mathbf{u})_{\mathbf{S}} \sim \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{u})$
- \mathbf{d} satisfies $\sim(\forall \mathbf{u})_{\mathbf{S}} \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{u})$ iff \mathbf{d} does not satisfy $(\forall \mathbf{u})_{\mathbf{S}} \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{u})$,
- iff it is not the case that for all $\mathbf{o} \in \mathbf{D}$, if $\mathbf{o} \in \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{S})$, then $\mathbf{d}[\mathbf{o}/\mathbf{u}]$ satisfies $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{u})$,
- iff for some $\mathbf{o} \in \mathbf{D}$, it is not the case that if $\mathbf{o} \in \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{S})$, then $\mathbf{d}[\mathbf{o}/\mathbf{u}]$ satisfies $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{u})$,
- iff for some $\mathbf{o} \in \mathbf{D}$, $\mathbf{o} \in \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{S})$ and $\mathbf{d}[\mathbf{o}/\mathbf{u}]$ does not satisfy $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{u})$,
- iff for some $\mathbf{o} \in \mathbf{D}$, $\mathbf{o} \in \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{S})$, and $\mathbf{d}[\mathbf{o}/\mathbf{u}]$ satisfies $\sim \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{u})$,
- iff \mathbf{d} satisfies $(\exists \mathbf{u})_{\mathbf{S}} \sim \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{u})$, QED.